



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/732,350	12/07/2000	Allan Svendsen	5200.220-US	1715
25908	7590	09/08/2005	EXAMINER	
NOVOZYMES NORTH AMERICA, INC.			PAK, YONG D	
500 FIFTH AVENUE			ART UNIT	
SUITE 1600			PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10110			1652	

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/732,350		SVENDSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Yong D. Pak		1652	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 26 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. ☒ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) ☒ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. ☒ The Notice of Appeal was filed on 26 July 2005. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
- (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
- (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: _____.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached.
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____
13. ☐ Other: _____.

ADVISORY ACTION

Response to Arguments

The request for reconsideration filed on July 26, 2005 under 37 CFR 1.116 in reply to the final rejection has been considered and has been ENTERED but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance because: the request for reconsideration does not overcome the rejection of claims 64-105 under 35 U.S.C. 112 1st paragraph and 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Germann et al.

Claims 64-105 are pending and are under consideration.

Claims 96-105 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling a variant of SEQ ID NO:10 and having laccase activity, wherein said variant consists of the recited substitution, does not reasonably provide enablement for a variant of SEQ ID NO:10 and having laccase activity, wherein said variant comprises of any number of the recited substitutions and has 50-90% sequence homology with SEQ ID NO:1. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

In response to the previous Office Action, applicants have traversed the above rejection. Applicants argue that the claims provide both structural and functional limitations because the claims require the parent laccase is the laccase of SEQ ID

Art Unit: 1652

NO:10, the variant comprises one or more specific substitutions and the variant has laccase activity and some experimentation might be necessary to make other non-exemplified laccase variants, but such experimentation would not be undue to one of ordinary skill in the art. Examiner respectfully disagrees. The claims are not only drawn to variant laccase consisting of the recited substitution, but to any or all mutants, variants and recombinants of the laccase of SEQ ID NO:10 comprising one of the recited substitutions and having 50-90% sequence homology with SEQ ID NO:1, and having laccase activity. Predictability of which changes can be tolerated in a protein's amino acid sequence and obtain the desired activity requires a specific knowledge of and guidance with regard to which specific amino acids in the protein's sequence, can be modified such that the modified polypeptide continues to have said claimed activity. It is this specific guidance that applicants do not provide. Without specific guidance, those skilled in the art will be subjected to undue experimentation of making and testing each of the enormously large number of variants that results from such experimentation. While the art may teach in general the structure of laccase, conserved amino acid sequences, and etc, such teachings will not reduce the burden of undue experimentation on those of ordinary skill in the art.

Applicants also argue that the level of skill in the art of enzyme variants is very high and that there are numerous US Patents on laccase variants comprising one or more mutations and it would be routine for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the substitutions recited in the claims of the present application with any of the mutations described in the prior art. As discussed above, the claims are not only drawn to variant

Art Unit: 1652

laccase consisting of specific substitutions recited in the claims, but to any or all mutants, variants and recombinants of the laccase of SEQ ID NO:10 comprising one of the recited substitutions and having 50-90% sequence homology with SEQ ID NO:1, and having laccase activity. Without specific guidance, those skilled in the art will be subjected to undue experimentation of making and testing each of the enormously large number of variants that results from such experimentation. While the art may teach in general the structure of laccase, conserved amino acid sequences, and etc, such teachings will not reduce the burden of undue experimentation on those of ordinary skill in the art.

Applicants also note that the claims of all these patents use the transition term "comprising". Examiner is not governed by any issued US Patents.

Hence the rejection is maintained.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 64-95 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yong Pak whose telephone number is 571-272-0935. The examiner can normally be reached 6:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.


Application/Control Number: 09/732,350

Page 5

Art Unit: 1652

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

Yong D. Pak
Patent Examiner 1652

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Manjunath Rao", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Manjunath Rao
Primary Patent Examiner 1652